Solution to Tutorial 6^*

2011/2012 Semester I

MA4264

Game Theory

Tutor: Xiang Sun[†]

November 3, 2011

Exercise 1. Find all the pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibria in the following static Bayesian game:

(i) Nature determines whether the payoffs are as in Game 1 or as in Game 2, each game being equally likely.



- (ii) Player 1 learns whether nature has drawn Game 1 or Game 2, but Player 2 does not.
- (iii) Player 1 chooses either T or B; Player 2 simultaneously chooses either L or R.
- (iv) Payoffs are given by the game drawn by nature.

• There are two players: Player 1 and Player 2;

- Type spaces: $T_1 = \{1, 2\}$, and $T_2 = \{\{1, 2\}\};$
- Action spaces: $A_1 = \{T, B\}$, and $A_2 = \{L, R\}$;
- Strategy spaces: $S_1 = \{TT, TB, BT, BB\}$, and $S_2 = \{L, R\}$.

Now we will find the best-response correspondence for each player and each associated type: let a_1, a_2 be Player 1's actions in Game 1 and Game 2, respectively, b Player 2's action.

• If Game 1 is drawn by Nature, then Player 1's best-response correspondence is

$$a_1^*(b) = \begin{cases} \{T\}, & \text{if } b = L; \\ \{T, B\}, & \text{if } b = R. \end{cases}$$

^{*}Corrections are always welcome.

[†]Email: xiangsun@nus.edu.sg; Mobile: 9169 7677; Office: S17-06-14.

• If Game 2 is drawn by Nature, then Player 1's best-response correspondence is

$$a_2^*(b) = \begin{cases} \{T, B\}, & \text{if } b = L; \\ \{T\}, & \text{if } b = R. \end{cases}$$

• Since Player 2 does not know which game is being drawn, he will choose b to maximize his expected payoff. The following table is Player 2's expected payoff table:

	L	R
TT	1/2	0
TB	1/2	1
BT	0	0
BB	0	1

Thus we get Player 2's best-response correspondence:

$$b^*(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \{L\}, & \text{if } a_1a_2 = TT; \\ \{R\}, & \text{if } a_1a_2 = TB; \\ \{L, R\}, & \text{if } a_1a_2 = BT; \\ \{R\}, & \text{if } a_1a_2 = BB. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by definition, we will get all the Bayesian Nash equilibria: (TT, L), (TB, R) and (BB, R). The reason is as follows:

• If Player 2 plays L, then Player 1 must play L in Game 1 (and Player 1 is indifferent between T and B in Game 2). Note that, if Player 1 plays B in Game 2, then Player 2 must play R.

So, given that Player 2 plays L, the only possible pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibrium is (TT, L) in this case.

• If Player 2 plays R, then Player 1 must play B in Game 2 (and Player 1 is indifferent between T and B in Game 1); if Player 1 plays B in Game 2, then Player 2 must play R.

So, given that Player 2 plays R, there are two pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibria: (TB, R) and (BB, R).

Exercise 2. The worker has an outside opportunity v known by himself. The firm believes that v = 6 and v = 10 with probabilities 2/3 and 1/3 respectively. A wage w = 8 is preset by the union. The firm and the worker simultaneously announce whether to accept or reject the wage. The worker will be employed by the firm if and only if both of them accept the wage. If the firm accepts the wage, its payoff is 3 if the worker is employed and 1 otherwise. If the firm rejects the wage, then its payoff is 0 regardless the worker's action. The worker's payoff is w if he is employed and v otherwise. Find the Bayesian Nash equilibria. Depict the extensive-form representation in which Nature draws the outside opportunity for the worker.



Solution. Let Game 1 and Game 2 be as follows:

- There are two players: firm and worker;
- Type spaces: $T_f = \{\{1, 2\}\}, \text{ and } T_w = \{1, 2\};$
- Action spaces: $A_w = A_f = \{A, R\};$
- Strategy spaces: $S_f = \{A, R\}$ and $S_w = \{AA, AR, RA, RR\}$.

Now we will find the best-response correspondence for each player and each associated type: let a_1 and a_2 be worker's actions in Game 1 and Game 2, respectively, b firm's action.

• If Game 1 is drawn by Nature, then worker's best-response correspondence is

$$a_1^*(b) = \begin{cases} \{A\}, & \text{if } b = A; \\ \{A, R\}, & \text{if } b = R. \end{cases}$$

• If Game 2 is drawn by Nature, then worker's best-response correspondence is

$$a_2^*(b) = \begin{cases} \{R\}, & \text{if } b = A; \\ \{A, R\}, & \text{if } b = R. \end{cases}$$

• Since firm does not know which game is being drawn, it will choose b to maximize its expected payoff. The following table is firm's expected payoff table:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & Firm \\ & A & R \\ \hline & AA & 3 & 0 \\ \hline & AR & 5/3 & 0 \\ \hline & RA & 1/3 & 0 \\ \hline & RR & -1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Thus we get firm's best-response correspondence is

$$b^*(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \{A\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = AA; \\ \{A\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = AR; \\ \{A\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = RA; \\ \{R\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = RR. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by definition, we will get all the Bayesian Nash equilibria: (AR, A) and (RR, R). The reason is as follows:

• If firm chooses A, then worker should choose A and R in Game 1 and Game 2, respectively. Note that, if worker chooses AR, then firm should choose A.

So, given that firm chooses A, the only possible pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibrium is (AR, A).

• If firm chooses R, then worker can choose any strategy in each game. Note that, only when worker chooses RR, R is firm's best response. So, given that firm chooses R, the only possible pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibrium is (RR, R).

Exercise 3. Consider the following static Bayesian game.

- Nature selects Game 1 with probability 1/3, Game 2 with probability 1/3 and Game 3 with probability 1/3.
- Player I learns whether Nature has selected Game 1 or not; Player II does not have any private information.

Note: There are two types of Player I: $\{1\}$ and $\{2,3\}$. Type $\{1\}$ knows that Nature has selected Game 1. Type $\{2,3\}$ knows that Nature has not selected Game 1, but is not sure whether the game is Game 2 or Game 3. There is only one type of Player II: $\{1,2,3\}$.

- Players I and II simultaneously choose their actions: Player I either T or B, and Player II either L or R.
- Payoffs are given by the game selected by Nature.



All of this is common knowledge. Find all the pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibria.

• There are 2 players: Player I and Player II;

- Type spaces: $T_1 = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}\}, \text{ and } T_2 = \{\{1,2,3\}\};$
- Action spaces: $A_1 = \{T, B\}$, and $A_2 = \{L, R\}$;
- Strategy spaces: $S_1 = \{TT, TB, BT, BB\}$, and $S_2 = \{L, R\}$.

Let a_1 and a_2 be Player I's actions in $\{1\}$ and $\{2,3\}$ respectively, b the Player II's action.

4/8

• If Game 1 is drawn by Nature, then Player I's best-response correspondence is

$$a_1^*(b) = \begin{cases} \{T\}, & \text{if } b = L; \\ \{T, B\}, & \text{if } b = R. \end{cases}$$

• If Game 2 or Game 3 is drawn by Nature, then Player I's expected payoff is and thus Player I's best-response correspondence is

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc}
L & R \\
T & 0 & 1 \\
B & 1 & 1
\end{array}$$

$$a_2^*(b) = \begin{cases} \{B\}, & \text{if } b = L; \\ \{T, B\}, & \text{if } b = R. \end{cases}$$

• The following table is Player II's expected payoff table: Thus we get firm's

	L	R
TT	2/3	2/3
TB	4/3	2/3
BT	0	2/3
BB	2/3	2/3

best-response correspondence is

$$b^*(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \{L, R\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = TT; \\ \{L\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = TB; \\ \{R\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = BT; \\ \{L, R\}, & \text{if } a_1 a_2 = BB. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by definition, we will get all the Bayesian Nash equilibria: (TB, L), (TT, R), (BT, R) and (BB, R). The reason is as follows:

• If Player II chooses L, then Player I should choose T and B in $\{1\}$ and $\{2,3\}$, respectively. Note that, if Player I chooses TB, then Player II should choose L.

So, given that Player II chooses L, the only possible pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibrium is (TB, L).

• If Player II chooses R, then Player II can choose any strategy in each game. Note that, only when Player I chooses TT, BT or BB, R is Player II's best response. So, given that Player II chooses R, the only possible pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibria are (TT, R), (BT, R) and (BB, R).

Solution to Tutorial 6

Exercise 4. Consider a first-price, sealed-bid auction in which the bidders' valuations are independently and uniformly distributed on [0, 1]. Show that if there are n bidders, then the strategy of bidding (n-1)/n times one's valuation is a symmetric Bayesian Nash equilibrium of this auction.

Proof. • There are n players;

- Type spaces: $T_i = [0, 1]$, that is, each $v_i \in T_i$ is a valuation;
- Action spaces: $A_i = [0, 1]$, that is, each $a_i \in A_i$ is a bid;
- Strategy spaces: $S_i = \{b_i \colon T_i \to A_i\};$
- Payoff:

$$u_i(a_i, a_{-i}, v_i) = \begin{cases} v_i - a_i, & \text{if } a_i > a_j, \forall j \neq i; \\ \frac{v_i - a_i}{k}, & \text{if } a_i \text{ is one of the } k \text{ largest bids}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• Aim: show that $(b_1^*, b_2^*, \ldots, b_n^*)$ is a Bayesian Nash equilibrium, where $b_i^*(v_i) = \frac{n-1}{n}v_i$.

It suffices to show that for each Player *i* and each associated type $v_i, b_i^*(v_i)$ solves

$$\max_{a_i \in A_i} \mathbb{E}_{v_{-i}} u_i(s_{-i}^*(v_{-i}), a_i; v_i),$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}_{v_{-i}}u_i(s_{-i}^*(v_{-i}), a_i; v_i) = \sum_{v_{-i} \in T_{-i}} \mathbf{P}_i(v_{-i}|v_i) \times u_i(s_{-i}^*(v_{-i}), a_i; v_i)$$
$$= (v_i - a_i) \times \operatorname{Prob}\{a_i > b_j^*(v_j), \ \forall j \neq i\}$$
$$+ \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{v_i - a_i}{k} \times \operatorname{Prob}\{a_i \text{ is one of the } k \text{ largest bids}\}$$

By computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Prob}\{a_i > b_j^*(v_j), \ \forall j \neq i\} &= \Pi_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Prob}\{a_i > b_j^*(v_j)\} & \text{independence} \\ &= \Pi_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Prob}\{a_i > \frac{n-1}{n} v_j\} & \text{definition of } b_j^* \\ &= \Pi_{j \neq i} \operatorname{Prob}\{v_j < \frac{n}{n-1} a_i\} \\ &= \Pi_{j \neq i} \frac{n}{n-1} a_i = \left(\frac{n}{n-1} a_i\right)^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

 $Prob\{a_i \text{ is one of the } k \text{ largest bids}\} = \prod_{j:b_j^*(v_j)=a_i} Prob\{b_j^*(v_j)=a_i\}$ $= \prod_{j:b_j^*(v_j)=a_i} 0 = 0$

6/8

Therefore the expected payoff of Player i is

$$\left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^{n-1}a_i^{n-1}(v_i-a_i),$$

and the unique maximizer is $\frac{n-1}{n}v_i = b_i^*(v_i)$. Therefore, every Player *i*'s strategy $b(v_i) = \frac{n-1}{n}v_i$ constitutes a (symmetric) Bayesian Nash equilibrium.

Exercise 5. There are 2 players who were at the scene where a crime was committed. But neither player knows whether she has been the only witness to the crime, or whether there was another witness as well. Let π be the probability with which each player believes the other player is a witness. Each player, if she is a witness, can call the police or not. The payoff to Player i is 2/3 if she calls the police, 1 if someone else calls the police, and 0 if nobody calls.

- (i) Write down each player's types and strategies.
- (ii) For each value of $\pi \in [0, 1]$, find the Bayesian Nash equilibria.
- Solution. (i) Since each player knows that he is in the crime scene, each one has only one type: Player 1's type is "Player 1 is a witness", and Player 2's type is "Player 2's type is a witness". There is no possibility that they are not in the crime scene.¹

However, they don't know whether the other person is also in the crime scene or not. Hence, what they are uncertain about is the other player's type.

Each Player *i* has one types: $t_i =$ "on the scene". For $\pi \in [0, 1]$, each Player i has two strategies C (call) and N (not call).

- (ii) Each Player *i* thinks that he is playing the following games:
 - Game 1: if Player *i* thinks that Player *j* is also on the spot (probability π). Then Player *i*'s payoff table is as follows:



Game 1: Player j is on the scene

• Game 2: if Player *i* thinks that Player *j* is not on the spot (probability $1-\pi$). Then Player *i* think that he will get 2/3 if he chooses C, and 0 otherwise, no matter what Player j chooses.

¹Another acceptable solution is: Player i's type space is {Player i is a witness, Player i is not a witness}.

Player
$$j$$

 C N
Player i C $2/3$ $2/3$
 N 0 0

Game 2: Player j is not on the scene

Therefore, Player *i*'s expected payoff is in the payoff table G_1 , and the game in fact can be represented by the payoff table G_2 .

Player
$$j$$

Player j
Player $i \begin{array}{c} C & N \\ N & \hline{2/3 & 2/3} \\ \pi & 0 \end{array}$
Player $i \begin{array}{c} C & N \\ \hline{2/3, 2/3} & 2/3, \pi \\ N & \hline{\pi, 2/3} & 0, 0 \end{array}$

$$G_1 \qquad \qquad G_2$$

Thus the Bayesian Nash equilibria are as follows:

- If $2/3 > \pi \ge 0$, then there is only one Bayesian Nash equilibrium (C, C);
- If $\pi = 2/3$, then there are three Bayesian Nash equilibria (C, C), (C, N) and (N, C);
- If $1 \ge \pi > 2/3$, then there are two Bayesian Nash equilibria (C, N) and (N, C).