

Game Theory

Static games with incomplete information

Xiang Sun

2021 Summer

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

A Motivating Example: Auction

- Suppose a seller wants to **sell a product** among a group of buyers.
- Each buyer is **willing to pay v_i** for the product, where v_i is buyer i 's **private information (私有信息)**, i.e., only buyer i knows its valuation v_i , but not all other buyers or the seller.
- In order to sell the product, the seller **runs an auction** (first-price auction, second-price auction, etc.).
- Each buyer must bid for the product in order to be the winner.
- Question: What should each buyer do?

Introduction

- We have so far learned games of complete information, i.e., each player's **payoff function is common knowledge** among all players.
- In the auction example, each player's payoff function is no longer common knowledge:
Buyer i 's payoff function contains private information, which is not known by other buyers.
- This is an example of **incomplete information games (不完全信息博弈)**, in which at least one player is **uncertain about another player's payoff function**.
- Games of incomplete information are also called **Bayesian games (贝叶斯博弈)** (why call Bayesian?).
- Two types of Bayesian games: static vs. dynamic.

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information

- Consider the Cournot duopoly model with an inverse demand function $P = a - Q$, where $Q = q_1 + q_2$ and $a > 0$.
- Firm 1's cost function is $c_1(q_1) = cq_1$.
- Firm 2's cost function is

$$c_2(q_2) = \begin{cases} c_H q_2, & \text{with probability } \theta, \\ c_L q_2, & \text{with probability } 1 - \theta, \end{cases}$$

where $c_L < c_H$ and $0 < \theta < 1$.

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information (Cont.)

- Different from the standard Cournot model, the information is **asymmetric**:
 - Firm 1's cost function is known by both firms.
 $\Rightarrow c_1(\cdot)$ is common knowledge.
 - Firm 2's cost function is completely known by itself, but not known by firm 1.
 $\Rightarrow c_2(\cdot)$ is not common knowledge.
 - Firm 1 only knows the distribution of firm 2's marginal cost, i.e., c_H with probability θ and c_L with probability $1 - \theta$.
- What will be the quantities chosen by the firms?

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information (Cont.)

- Naturally, firm 2 may want to choose a **different** (and presumably lower) quantity if its marginal cost is high than if it is low.
- Firm 1 should **rationally anticipate** that firm 2 may tailor its quantity to its cost in this way.
- Let $q_2^*(c_H)$ and $q_2^*(c_L)$ denote firm 2's quantity choices when its marginal cost is c_H and c_L respectively, and let q_1^* denote firm 1's single choice of quantity.

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information (Cont.)

- If firm 2's cost is c_j ($j = L, H$), it will choose $q_2^*(c_j)$ to solve

$$\max_{q_2} (a - q_1^* - q_2 - c_j)q_2.$$

- Since firm 1 knows that firm 2's marginal cost is c_H with probability of θ and anticipates firm 2 to choose $q_2^*(c_j)$ depending on its cost, firm 1 chooses q_1^* to solve

$$\max_{q_1} \theta [a - q_1 - q_2^*(c_H) - c]q_1 + (1 - \theta) [a - q_1 - q_2^*(c_L) - c]q_1.$$

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information (Cont.)

The (interior) first-order conditions (or best response functions) for the firms are

$$q_2^*(c_H) = \frac{a - q_1^* - c_H}{2},$$

$$q_2^*(c_L) = \frac{a - q_1^* - c_L}{2},$$

$$q_1^* = \frac{a - \theta q_2^*(c_H) - (1 - \theta)q_2^*(c_L) - c}{2}.$$

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information (Cont.)

- The equilibrium of this game is $(q_1^*, (q_2^*(c_H), q_2^*(c_L)))$, where

$$q_1^* = \frac{a - 2c + \theta c_H + (1 - \theta)c_L}{3},$$
$$q_2^*(c_H) = \frac{a - 2c_H + c}{3} + \frac{1 - \theta}{6}(c_H - c_L),$$
$$q_2^*(c_L) = \frac{a - 2c_L + c}{3} - \frac{\theta}{6}(c_H - c_L).$$

- We know $q_2^*(c_H) < q_2^*(c_L) \Rightarrow$ firm 2 **produces less** when its marginal cost increases.

Cournot Competition under Asymmetric Information (Cont.)

- Firm 2 has two payoff functions

$$\pi_2(q_1, q_2; c_L) = (a - q_1 - q_2 - c_L)q_2,$$

$$\pi_2(q_1, q_2; c_H) = (a - q_1 - q_2 - c_H)q_2.$$

- Firm 1 has only one payoff function

$$\pi_1(q_1, q_2; c) = (a - q_1 - q_2 - c)q_1.$$

- Firm 2 knows firm 1's payoff function, while **firm 1 does not know firm 2's payoff functions** but only knows the probability distribution.
- This is an example of (static) Bayesian games.

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

Static Bayesian Games

Consider a general static Bayesian game.

- Let player i 's possible payoff function be $u_i(a_1, \dots, a_n; t_i)$, where a_i is player i 's action and t_i is called player i 's **type (类型)**, which belongs to a set of possible types T_i (or **type spaces**).
- Player i 's type t_i is his private information, and each type t_i corresponds to a **different payoff function** of player i .
- Let $t_{-i} = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_n)$ be the types of other players and T_{-i} be the set of all t_{-i} .
- Player i is uncertain about other players' types, but only knows the probability distribution $p_i(t_{-i}|t_i)$ on T_{-i} , which is i 's **belief (猜测/估计/信念)** about other players' types, **given i 's knowledge of his own t_i .**

Static Bayesian Games (Cont.)

Definition

The **normal-form (标准式) representation** of an n -player static Bayesian game specifies players'

- ① action spaces A_1, \dots, A_n ,
- ② type spaces T_1, \dots, T_n ,
- ③ beliefs p_1, \dots, p_n ,
- ④ payoff functions u_1, \dots, u_n .

We denote this game by

$$G = \langle A_1, \dots, A_n; T_1, \dots, T_n; p_1, \dots, p_n; u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle.$$

Illustration

In the Cournot game with asymmetric information,

- $A_1 = A_2 = [0, \infty)$;
- $T_1 = \{c\}$, and $T_2 = \{c_H, c_L\}$;
- $p_1(c_H|c) = \theta$, $p_1(c_L|c) = 1 - \theta$, and $p_2(c|c_H) = p_2(c|c_L) = 1$;
- Payoff functions are

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_1(q_1, q_2; c) &= (a - q_1 - q_2 - c)q_1, \\ \pi_2(q_1, q_2; c_L) &= (a - q_1 - q_2 - c_L)q_2, \\ \pi_2(q_1, q_2; c_H) &= (a - q_1 - q_2 - c_H)q_2.\end{aligned}$$

- Question: What's the game tree?

Timing

- The timing of a static Bayesian game:
 - ① Nature draws a type vector $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$, where $t_i \in T_i$;
 - ② Nature reveals t_i to player i , but not to any other players;
 - ③ The players **simultaneously** choose actions, player i choosing $a_i \in A_i$;
 - ④ Payoffs $u_i(a_1, \dots, a_n; t_i)$ are received.
- By introducing the frictional moves by nature in (1) and (2), we have described a game of incomplete information as a game of imperfect information.

Bayes' rule

- We often assume that the nature draws $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ according to the **prior probability distribution** $p(t)$ (先验概率分布/事前概率分布), which is common knowledge.
- Then the (posterior) belief $p_i(t_{-i}|t_i)$ can be computed by **Bayes' rule** (贝叶斯公式)

$$p_i(t_{-i}|t_i) = \frac{p(t_{-i}, t_i)}{\sum_{t'_{-i} \in T_{-i}} p(t'_{-i}, t_i)}.$$

Two remarks

- First, there are games in which player i has private information not only about his or her own payoff function but also about another player's payoff function. We write player i 's payoff function as $u_i(a_1, \dots, a_n; t_1, \dots, t_n)$. (interdependent (相互决定))
- Second, we typically assume that players' types are independent (otherwise, correlated), i.e., $p_i(t_{-i}|t_i)$ does not depend on t_i , which can be denoted by $p_i(t_{-i})$. But $p_i(t_{-i})$ is still derived from the prior distribution $p(t)$.

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

Strategy

Definition

In the static Bayesian game

$G = \langle A_1, \dots, A_n; T_1, \dots, T_n; p_1, \dots, p_n; u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$, a **strategy** (策略) for player i is a function $s_i(t_i)$, i.e., $s_i: T_i \rightarrow A_i$. For given type t_i , $s_i(t_i)$ gives an action of player i .

Player i 's **strategy space** S_i is the set of all functions from T_i into A_i .

- In the previous example, $(q_2^*(c_H), q_2^*(c_L))$ is a strategy for firm 2, while q_1^* is a strategy for firm 1.

Bayesian Nash Equilibrium

Definition

In the static Bayesian game

$G = \langle A_1, \dots, A_n; T_1, \dots, T_n; p_1, \dots, p_n; u_1, \dots, u_n \rangle$, the strategy profile $s^* = (s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*)$ are a (pure-strategy) **Bayesian Nash equilibrium (贝叶斯-纳什均衡)** if for each player i and for each of i 's types $t_i \in T_i$, $s_i^*(t_i)$ solves

$$\max_{a_i \in A_i} \sum_{t_{-i} \in T_{-i}} u_i(s_{-i}^*(t_{-i}), a_i; t_i) \cdot p_i(t_{-i} | t_i).$$

- In a general finite static Bayesian game (finite players, finite actions, and finite types), a Bayesian Nash equilibrium exists, perhaps in mixed strategies.

Bayesian Nash Equilibrium

- In a Bayesian Nash equilibrium, each player's strategy is a best response to other players' strategies.
- In other words, no player wants to change his or her strategy unilaterally given other players' equilibrium strategies, even if the change involves **only one action by one type**.
- A Bayesian Nash equilibrium is simply a Nash equilibrium in a Bayesian game.
- In the Cournot game with asymmetric information, the strategies $(q_1^*, (q_2^*(c_H), q_2^*(c_L)))$ are a Bayesian Nash equilibrium since neither firm 1 nor firm 2 wants to deviate from its equilibrium strategy.

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - **Application: A trading game**
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

Application: A Trading Game


- Suppose a seller can procure a product at a cost of $c = 1$.
- A buyer wants to buy the good, and is willing to pay $v_0 = 12$.
- The buyer can also purchase the good from other places, where the valuation is his private information.
- The seller knows the distribution of the valuation for the outside option is either $v = 10$ or $v = 14$, each with a probability of $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{3}$, respectively.
- The price of the good is $P = 4$, which is exogenous and independent of where the buyer makes a purchase.
- All c , v_0 and P are common knowledge among both players.

Application: A Trading Game

- The seller decides **whether to procure the good**, and the buyer **simultaneously** decides **whether to order the good from the seller**.
- If the seller procures the good, its payoff is $P - c$ if the buyer makes a purchase, and $-c$ otherwise.
- If the seller does not procure the good, its payoff is zero regardless of the buyer's choice.
- The buyer's payoff is $v_0 - P$ if he buys from the seller, and $v - P$ otherwise.
- What should the seller and the buyer do?

Application: A Trading Game

- The extensive-form representation of the game:



- Player 1 is the buyer and player 2 is the seller.

Application: A Trading Game

- Normal-form representation of the game:
 - Action spaces: $A_1 = \{B, N\}$ and $A_2 = \{P, N\}$;
 - Type spaces: $T_1 = \{10, 14\}$ and $T_2 = \{1\}$;
 - Beliefs:
 - the buyer's belief on the seller's type is 1 on type 1,
 - the seller's belief on the buyer's types is $\frac{2}{3}$ on 10 and $\frac{1}{3}$ on 14;
 - Payoffs are given as above.
- Strategy spaces: $S_1 = \{BB, BN, NB, NN\}$ and $S_2 = \{P, N\}$
 - The meaning of BN : the buyer with outside option 10 chooses “to buy” and with outside option 14 chooses “not to buy”.

Application: A Trading Game

- Alternatively, we can use the following bi-matrix to represent the game:

		Buyer			
		<i>BB</i>	<i>BN</i>	<i>NB</i>	<i>NN</i>
Seller	<i>P</i>	3, 8, 8	$\frac{5}{3}, 8, 10$	$\frac{1}{3}, 6, 8$	-1, 6, 10
	<i>N</i>	0, 6, 10	0, 6, 10	0, 6, 10	0, 6, 10

- For example, consider the outcome (P, BN) :
 - the buyer **with type 10** receives $v_0 - P = 8$, and **with type 14** receives $v - P = 10$;
 - the seller's expected payoff is $3 \times \frac{2}{3} - 1 \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{5}{3}$.
- In particular, we can consider **two types of the buyer as two players** and we can solve the Bayesian Nash equilibria in the above (like three-player) normal-form representation of the game.

Application: A Trading Game

- We first find out the best response functions for each of the “three players” (the seller and each type of the buyer).

		Buyer			
		<i>BB</i>	<i>BN</i>	<i>NB</i>	<i>NN</i>
Seller	<i>P</i>	<u>3</u> , <u>8</u> , 8	<u>5/3</u> , <u>8</u> , <u>10</u>	<u>1/3</u> , 6, 8	-1, 6, <u>10</u>
	<i>N</i>	0, <u>6</u> , <u>10</u>	0, <u>6</u> , <u>10</u>	0, <u>6</u> , <u>10</u>	<u>0</u> , <u>6</u> , <u>10</u>

- Two Bayesian Nash equilibria: (P, BN) and (N, NN) .

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - **Application: Mixed strategies revisited**
- 4 Summary

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- Consider the game of battle of the sexes

		Wife	
		Opera	Football
Husband	Opera	1, 2	0, 0
	Football	0, 0	2, 1

- There are three possible Nash equilibria: (Opera, Opera), (Football, Football) and $(\frac{1}{3}\text{Opera} + \frac{2}{3}\text{Football}, \frac{2}{3}\text{Opera} + \frac{1}{3}\text{Football})$.
- In the mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium,
 - the husband plays Opera with probability $\frac{1}{3}$ and Football with probability $\frac{2}{3}$,
 - the wife plays Opera with probability $\frac{2}{3}$ and Football with probability $\frac{1}{3}$.

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- Suppose the couple are **uncertain** about the payoffs for each other.
- Consider the following payoff matrix

		Wife	
		Opera	Football
Husband	Opera	$1, 2 + t_w$	$0, 0$
	Football	$0, 0$	$2 + t_h, 1$

- Here t_w is privately known by the wife, while t_h is privately known by the husband.
- Assume that t_w and t_h are independently drawn from a uniform distribution on $[0, x]$, where $x > 0$.

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- The normal-form representation of this static Bayesian game is $G = \langle A_h, A_w; T_h, T_w; p_h, p_w; u_h, u_w \rangle$:
 - $A_h = A_w = \{\text{Opera, Football}\}$;
 - $T_h = T_w = [0, x]$;
 - The husband believes that t_w (the wife believes that t_h) is uniformly distributed on $[0, x]$;
 - u_h and u_w are given before.
- What are players' strategies?

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- We can construct a Bayesian Nash equilibrium (s_h^*, s_w^*) , where

$$s_h^* = \begin{cases} \text{Football,} & \text{if } t_h > \bar{t}_h, \\ \text{Opera,} & \text{if } t_h \leq \bar{t}_h, \end{cases} \text{ and } s_w^* = \begin{cases} \text{Opera,} & \text{if } t_w > \bar{t}_w, \\ \text{Football,} & \text{if } t_w \leq \bar{t}_w. \end{cases}$$

- Note \bar{t}_h and \bar{t}_w are two critical values, which need to be determined.
- In the Bayesian Nash equilibrium, the husband will choose Football if t_h exceeds the critical value \bar{t}_h , and choose Opera otherwise.

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- Given the wife's strategy, the husband's expected payoffs of choosing Opera and Football are

$$\begin{aligned}u_h(\text{Opera}, s_w^*|t_h) &= \Pr(s_w^* = \text{Opera}) \cdot 1 + \Pr(s_w^* = \text{Football}) \cdot 0 \\&= \left(1 - \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x}\right) \cdot 1 + \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x} \cdot 0 = 1 - \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_h(\text{Football}, s_w^*|t_h) = \left(1 - \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x}\right) \cdot 0 + \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x} \cdot (2 + t_h) = \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x}(2 + t_h).$$

- Thus, choosing Opera is optimal iff

$$1 - \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x} \geq \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x}(2 + t_h) \iff t_h \leq \frac{x}{\bar{t}_w} - 3 = \bar{t}_h. \quad (1)$$

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- Similarly, given the husband's strategy, the wife's expected payoffs of playing Opera and Football are

$$u_w(\text{Opera}, s_h^* | t_w) = \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x} \cdot (2 + t_w) + \left(1 - \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x}\right) \cdot 0 = \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x}(2 + t_w),$$

and

$$u_w(\text{Football}, s_h^* | t_w) = \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x} \cdot 0 + \left(1 - \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x}\right) \cdot 1 = 1 - \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x}.$$

- Thus, choosing Football is optimal iff

$$1 - \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x} \geq \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x}(2 + t_w) \iff t_w \leq \frac{x}{\bar{t}_h} - 3 = \bar{t}_w. \quad (2)$$

Application: Mixed Strategies Revisited

- Solving (1) and (2) simultaneously, we obtain \bar{t}_h and \bar{t}_w satisfy

$$t^2 + 3t - x = 0.$$

- Thus, $\bar{t}_h = \bar{t}_w = \frac{\sqrt{9+4x}-3}{2}$.
- In equilibrium, the husband plays Opera with probability p^* and Football with probability $1 - p^*$, while the wife plays Football with probability p^* and Opera with probability $1 - p^*$, where

$$p^* = \frac{\bar{t}_h}{x} = \frac{\bar{t}_w}{x} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{9+4x}+3}.$$

- When $x \rightarrow 0$, we get that $p^* \rightarrow \frac{1}{3}$.
- As the incomplete information disappears, the players' behavior in this **pure-strategy Bayesian Nash equilibrium** approaches their behavior in the **mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium** in the original game of complete information.

- 1 Introduction
 - Cournot competition under asymmetric information
- 2 Static Bayesian games
 - Strategy and Bayesian Nash equilibrium
- 3 Applications
 - Application: A trading game
 - Application: Mixed strategies revisited
- 4 Summary

Summary

- We have considered static games with incomplete information.
- Two basic questions:
 - 1 How to describe private types? How to formulate beliefs?
 - 2 How to solve such games?
- BNE vs. NE